

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,939	07/25/2003	Michael Robert Samuels	AD6900USNA	3671	
23906	7590 02/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			VAN, QU	VAN, QUANG T	
	FENT RECORDS CENTER IILL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANC	ASTER PIKE		3742		
WILMINGT	ON, DE 19805		DATE MAILED: 02/14/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/627,939	SAMUELS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
		Quang T Van	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be available. Extensions of time may be available. If the period for reply specified. If NO period for reply is specified. Failure to reply within the set of	F THIS COMMUNICATION. Iable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply dd above, the maximum statutory period w extended period for reply will, by statute, a later than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status			•				
2a)⊠ This action is FIN 3)□ Since this applica	tion is in condition for allowar	ndment filed on 12/28/2004. action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro fix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		is			
Disposition of Claims			•				
4a) Of the above of 5) Claim(s) <u>18-28 ar</u> 6) Claim(s) <u>1,5,7,10</u> , 7) Claim(s) <u>2-4,6,8,9</u>	4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 18-28 and 33 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,5,7,10,11 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-4,6,8,9,12-16 and 29-32 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) file Applicant may not r Replacement drawi	equest that any objection to the ong sheet(s) including the correct	r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob aminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119						
a) All b) Some 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of the application	e * c) None of: pies of the priority documents pies of the priority documents ne certified copies of the prior from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage				
· —	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/627,939 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 7, 10-11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al (US 6,641,878). Suzuki discloses a holding container comprising a composition which comprises a mixture of a thermoplastic polymer (col. 8, line 12) whose melting point and/or glass transition point is about 250°C or more (col. 8, line 15) or a thermoset polymer whose softening point is about 250°C or more, a heating effective amount of a microwave susceptor (col. 8, lines 16-24), provided that said composition has a thermal conductivity of about 0.70 W/m°K or more (col. 8, lines 56-57).
- 3. Claims 2-4, 6,8-9, 12-16 and 29-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 18-28 and 33 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not show or suggest the step of contacting an item to be cooked with a composition which comprises a mixture of a thermoplastic polymer whose melting point and/or glass transition point is about 250°C or more, or thermoset

polymer whose softening point is about 250°C or more, a heating effective amount of a microwave susceptor, provided that said composition has a thermal conductivity of about 0.7 W/m°K or more, and the step of exposing food in contact with said composition to microwave radiation as recited in claims 18-28 and 33.

Response to Amendment

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/28/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argue Suzuki et al (US 6,641,878), in col. 8, line 15, does not state that a melting point is 250°C or more, merely that a melt viscosity, which is normally measured above the melting point, is measured at 310°C. However, Applicant is also admitted that "Poly (arylene sulfide) is a generic term for a type of polymer " and "the most common used **poly(arylene sulfide)**, poly(phenylene sulfide) **melt at about** 280°C" recited in REMARKS, page 6, lines 15-19. Therefore, Suzuki et al meets on this limitation.

Applicant also argues "Suzuki is concerned with a composition which is said to be useful for an "optical device holding container" (col. 1, lines 5-17)" recited in REMARKS, page 7, lines 1-2 and "since Suzuki does not does not mention ovenware, cooking or microwave heating, it does not anticipate the present claims for ovenware" recited in REMARKS, page 7, lines 7-9. The Examiner disagrees. Ovenware is a container for use to contain a heating object, and the heating object can be food or industrial device etc... Suzuki discloses a holding container comprising a composition, which comprises a mixture of a thermoplastic polymer (col. 8, line 12), a heating

effective amount of a microwave susceptor (col. 8, lines 16-24), provided that said composition has a thermal conductivity of about 0.70 W/m⁰K or more (col. 8, lines 56-57). Further, the term "for an optical device holding container" is just an intended use, and as long as Suzuki's reference read on the claimed limitations and capable to perform as an ovenware as claimed by applicant, Suzuki's reference is anticipated. Therefore, Suzuki's reference is remain rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b).

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang T Van whose telephone number is 571-272-4789. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am 7:00Pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,939

Art Unit: 3742

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QV

February 7, 2005

Quang T Van Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3742